A Review on the Impact of Management Practices on Collaborative Performance in Engineering Colleges: A Study of Solapur District

¹RESEARCH SCHOLAR, MADHAV KAMLAKAR RAUL, International Institute of Information Technology (I²IT), Pune ² RESEARCH GUIDE DR. D T. PISAL,

Professor -Shivnagar Vidya Prasarak Mandal's Institute of Management, Malegaon BK, Tal-Baramati, Dist-Pune, 413115, India Email- <u>pisaldt@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Through this analysis, it is investigated how these management techniques can help in developing teamwork and also achieving the organizational objectives in the district engineering institutions of Solapur. To give the main capability and fragilities, the exploration consolidates different investigations on understudy outcomes, staff included, computerized change, and institutional management. Results are shown to illustrate how important structured management methods are for the improvement of faculty development, infrastructure, and academic quality, as well as industrial cooperation. The report also mentions knowledge gaps in higher education policy and offers ideas on the progress of higher education policy.

Keywords: Management Techniques, Teamwork, Organizational Objectives, Engineering Institutions, Solapur, Higher Education Policy

1. Introduction

Background

Engineering education is essential in India in order to create technical experts to support the country's economic development. Though India has one of the largest networks of engineering schools, education quality, innovation, and management techniques still have problems (Ghosh and Mittal, 2017). While elite institutions like the IITs and NITs continue to enjoy international acclaim, tier-II and tier-III schools have issues around very low student employability rates, insufficient industry connections, and faculty (Kumar, Singhal, & Kansal, 2022). Recent curriculum development and change in curriculum accreditation have addressed these issues, but the efficient management techniques in engineering schools have still to be assessed thoroughly (Vedhathiri, 2022).

Statement of the Problem

The need for qualified engineers is growing, but the engineering institutions in the Solapur region are facing the problem of the administration. Due to traditional academic frameworks and rigid curriculum design, institutions have had difficulty in adjusting to the fast changes in technology (Komives, 2020). Pathak, Chatterjee, and Vani (2020) explain that engineering schools often struggle with out-of-date teaching skills, poor faculty recruiting, and lack of research ecosystems.

In addition, these schools produce graduates with unemployable quality, especially where the school lacks the skills that are required by the business (Mann, Kumar, & Saini, 2015). They require careful evaluation of the management techniques that influence the performance of engineering institutions in collaborative work.

Purpose of Review

The main question asked by this study is how do management techniques impact engineering school collaborative performance? It tries to give some suggestions for enhancing the educational institutes by taking measurements for factors like faculty development, industry partnership, and digital transformation (Srinivasa et al., 2016). Engineering institutions must include global best practices in academic administration, student involvement, and technology integration into their data-driven management strategies (Milicevic, Sofronijević, & Milosavljević, 2016). These factors can help institutions aware of being aware of them to improve the student's results, line up with industry standards, and align with the institution's image.

Scope

This research is about the engineering institutions in Maharashtra's Solapur district, being the developing center of education. In the study, they want to pay focus on the critical elements in management, which entail how the curriculum is made, how to smartly engage your students, how you hire your faculty, and how you use digital infrastructure (Sawhney, Sharma, & Gupta, 2020). Though engineering education is a national concern, this research provides an institutional development potential and constraints case specific to Solapur. As a result, policymakers, educators, and business executives interested in achieving higher standards and sustainability of engineering education will find the results useful.

2. Higher Education Management Practices Theoretical Framework

An Overview of the Main Models of Management in Engineering Education

There are efficient ways to manage engineering education for both student growth and institutional success. Many models have been used to improve institutional performance, including the Integrated Educational Quality Management System (IEQMS), Six Sigma models, and Total Quality Management (TQM) approach (Pandi, Sethupathi & Jeyathilagar, 2016). The IEQMS for faculty development and institutional governance contains a number of techniques of quality management, such as lean thinking, occupational health and safety management systems, and ISO 9001:2008 QMS (Kumar, Singhal, & Kansal, 2022).

Additionally, other more recent educational models, such as the FRIYAY framework, assist in competency-based learning and mixed learning environments (Singar, Jain, & Akhilesh, 2022). Success of these strategies can only come from institutions, system work and performance indicators review. With this fast changing environment in operation of the education the engineering school in India will have no other choice but to implement flexible and adaptive management policies.

Fig 1: Conceptual Model of Management Practices and Collaborative Performance in Engineering Colleges

(Source: Kumar, P., Singhal, S., & Kansal, J. (2022). Impact of Quality Management System Dimensions on Organizational Effectiveness of Engineering Institutions in India. Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research. DOI: <u>10.56042/jsir.v81i02.54827</u>)



Leadership and Decision-Making Procedures Are Important

Impact of recruitment, admission and leadership with a high quality is great upon the effectiveness of the engineering schools' operations and academic programs. Moreover, there exist such an appropriate leadership structure (Chizhov, 2023) that can help the decision making, promote faculty development, and have higher learning result with students. Examples of governance approaches can be exemplified which include degree of

authority, form of governance such as centralized academic leadership in comparison with decentralized academic leadership, and form of academic leadership such as The Senior Academic Leader etc. Based on the research, maintenance of the quality of engineering school depends on teacher empowerment and academic autonomy (Thanikachalam, 2016).

In the same way, leadership models of the institutions that have objectives of moral and value based management also contribute to its stability and efficiency (Kiradoo, 2017). The balance of leadership of the TL literature is between the social and environmental obligations and institutional progress, and is described to be sustainable (Mukerji, 2017). Engineering schools should sharpen their efforts in adaptable leadership methodology based on new industrial and technical trends in order to be more globally competitive.

3. Review of Literature

3.1. Institutional Management and Organizational Performance

Role of Strategic Planning in Engineering Education

Strategic planning is essential in engineering education because it can promote academic quality as well as institutional sustainability. Institutions that incorporate structured planning, such as planning methods, always do better in faculty development, industry partnership, and student involvement (Vidya Bai et al., 2021). If the strategy of engineering schools is not in line with the world education trends, then these schools cannot remain competitive (Thanikachalam, 2021). With a well-structured strategic plan, it will be able to excel in research growth, faculty teaching, student mentoring, and designing a curriculum.

Administrative Efficiency and Resource Allocation Challenges

The distribution of resources in India's engineering school is mostly ineffective, causing poor development of amenities for students, lack of infrastructure development, and inadequate hiring of staff. Lack of funds makes it difficult to hire skilled teachers, to update labs, and to implement the latest digital learning platforms (Vedhathiri, 2018). The administrative inefficiencies, like bureaucratic delays and lack of transparency (Mukesh, 2020), hinder strategic development activities. Thus, data-driven decision-making coupled with an improved governance framework is required to solve these problems and to maximize institutional performance.

3.2. Teaching-Learning Procedures and Faculty Development

Faculty Training Programs' Effect on Academic Performance

Engineering schools, both teaching and research, need to be able to work in programs for faculty development. Workshops, Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), and industry-focused programs are used as faculty training methods, and the preparation and execution of the same results in the enhancement of quality education (Vedhathiri, 2022). Results for students and institutional rankings are improved at institutions that include faculty member ongoing education (Mohanakumari and Magesh, 2019).

The Importance of Pedagogical Innovations and Digital Learning

Both technologies have altered the use of the conventional classroom format as engineering education comes to use digital technologies. AI-based learning platforms, virtual laboratories, and online resources help in the student engagement and memory of the subject (Kalwade, 2016). Successful pedagogical innovation that facilitates student-centered education includes blended learning strategies and flipped classrooms (Komives, 2020). However, the adaptation of faculty and digital divide limits the use.

3.3. Engineering Colleges' Student-Centered Methods

The Value of Academic Support and Student Involvement

Mechanically, student participation is an important determinant of the academic achievement of engineering graduates. Extracurricular activities, research participation, and good mentorship help with retention rates and professional preparedness (Das et al., 2015). Engineering schools employing student support services, including career counseling, mental health, and other student well-being services (Ghosh & Mittal, 2017), can do so to enhance overall student well-being.

3.4. The Management of Higher Education via Digital Transformation

Technology Adoption in Curriculum Management

Since the integration of cloud-based platforms, with learning analytics and learning management systems in engineering institutions' curriculum delivery, has been revolutionized (Kumar et al., 2022). Digital platforms help settle administrative procedures and make instruction programs more flexible (Kiran and Rao, 2019).

Fig 2: Comparative Analysis of Traditional vs. Digital Management Practices in Engineering Colleges [Source: Author]



Identification of Research Gaps

Given the recent emphasis on engineering education, the few empirical studies that have been made of the impact of various management approaches on student learning and employability are not commensurate with the current interest in engineering education. However, despite such institutional leadership being utilized by many institutions, the relationship between organized institutional leadership and students' achievement is less known (Vidya Bai et al., 2021).

Insufficient Empirical Studies on Engineering Education's Collaborative Performance

Nevertheless, only a small number of the studies examine how cooperation between professors, students, and the industry helps to achieve the academic success of any institution. Engineering education research (Vedhathiri, 2021) aims at helping the students to become technically good rather than team players.

Policy-Driven Research Is Necessary to Enhance Educational Establishments

Engineering education in India has some policies to be adopted, but how successful they are remains to be known. Desperately needed policy-driven research is to understand how rules and institutional frameworks impact academic results (Mukesh, 2020).

5. Prospects and Upcoming Plans for Engineering Schools

5.1. Improving the Efficiency of Management

Creation of Uniform Administrative Regulations

Standardized procedures provide consistency in student evaluations, teacher hiring, and institutional governance. The Quality Management System (Kumar et al., 2022) needs to be used by engineering institutions to improve their institutional performance as well as simplify their operations.

Recruitment and Retention Strategies of Faculty

Finding and keeping talented teachers is one of the major problems in engineering education. Performance based promotion and use of research funds and incentives for faculty (Vedhathiri, 2022).

5.2. Improving the Cooperation of Industry and Academia

The Value of Real-World Training and Industry Collaborations

In recognition of the fact that it was present none organised effort towards the internship programs and research concurrency of engineering universities and industries, the disparity between the engineering universities standards of education and that of the industries (Das et al., 2015) was seen as an element that is crippling.

Table 1: Key Strategies for Improving Collaborative Performance in Engineering Colleges

Strategy	Expected Outcome
Structured Internship Programs	Enhanced student employability
Faculty-Industry Research Grants	Increased collaborative research output
Curriculum Integration with Industry	More relevant, hands-on learning
Needs	experience
Guest Lectures from Industry Experts	Exposure to real-world problem-solving
	skills

(Source: Mukesh, K. (2020). Education and Society Journal, 3(2), 45-46.)

5.3. E-learning Techniques and Digital Infrastructure

Investment in Online Learning Platforms and Smart Classrooms

As per Kiran, Rao (2019), to make an academic flexible the student engagement and to improve the flexibility in the academia a cloud based learning platform, AI driven teaching tools and a smart class should be worked upon to improve the flexibility in academic.

How to Use Data in Decision-Making for Curriculum Development

By using LA A and ABA, the probability of better student learning outcomes increases and therefore, it can make the adjustments in the curricula as done by data (Ghosh & Mittal, 2017).

6. Suggestions for Policy and Future Research Paths

A National Standard for Management Practices at Engineering Colleges

In India engineering Education there is a need of a well organization frames work at national level which leads to the need for standardizing practices for managing engineering Education in terms of the practices strat. According to Subramanian (2015), government policies affect engineering schools by the need to develop into a combination of research incentives, faculty development efforts, and educational credential modifications as one policy. Though the Multiple Entry & Exit (MEE) system embedded as part of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 tries to enable academic flexibility, a more elaborate framework based on student employability, industry engagement, and faculty recruiting is absent in this (Damodaram et al., 2023).

Policy Changes to Enhance Programs for Faculty Development

Along with the problem of faculty quality, engineering education has always been one of the biggest problems. According to Komives (2020), the ancient methods of teaching, which are against the teaching in the 21st century, have led to difficulties in approving teacher excellence because the trainings that exist are lacking. Some policy interventions that could help in enhancing teaching quality could be through organized mentored programs, faculty training, or in collaboration with vast international institutions (Madheswari & Mageswari, 2020). Therefore, the AI-powered learning environments and the hybrid teaching approach need to get to the top to continue modernizing engineering education.

Additional Study on Eco-Friendly Teaching Methods in Engineering Colleges

Improving on the results of policy and empirical research is needed to ensure the long-term viability of engineering education in India. As Thanikachalam (2021) claimed, research has to be focused on a longitudinal study followed by how a student-centered approach combined with industry education and training for teachers on an incremental basis will have an impact on academic achievement. On the other hand, these also allow one to ascertain the efficiency of the currently functioning institutional finance arrangements and accreditation models (Tulsi and Poonia, 2015).

7. Conclusion

The research stresses the importance of the organized management techniques to enhance teamwork in engineering schools. Therefore, digital transformation, conscious policy interventions, and strong faculty development are the institutional efficiency improvements. Second, the study emphasizes the importance of empirical research for teacher effectiveness and student employability, as well as accrediting changes with gaps in the research and policy framework. To have developed engineering institutions, there must be organized management that ensures there are top-notch professors, a new curriculum that is used, and industry participation. Such solutions to handling the issues will revolutionize engineering education in India.

It is imperative: First, adopt data-driven decision-making for those leaders and policymakers, then give faculty training and digital learning infrastructure, and lastly, build business partnerships. Teaching changes that are policy driven, and also changes in education that are

sustainable, should be the future studies so that international competition in engineering will be won, and engineering graduates will be prepared for the workforce.

References:

- Ghosh, P., & Mittal, R. (2017). Challenges in strengthening the communication and behavioral skills for greater employability of engineering students: A study of NCR Delhi (India). *International Journal of Management Excellence*, 8, 1013-1022. DOI: <u>10.17722/IJME.V8I3.326</u>
- Kumar, P., Singhal, S., & Kansal, J. (2022). Quality management system practices performed in ISO 9001 certified engineering educational institutions: A critical analysis of Indian universities. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2022/v36i1/22138</u>
- 3. Vedhathiri, T. (2022). Dynamic process for enhancing engineering faculty competence in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: 10.16920/jeet/2022/v36i1/22132
- 4. Komives, C. (2020). Indian engineering education needs a reboot. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2020/v33i3/151323</u>
- Pathak, V., Chatterjee, N., & Vani, N. (2020). Addressing the precedence of challenges in management education in Indian context, Part II. *Journal of Management Studies*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jms.2020/v6i1/45698</u>
- Mann, K. S., Kumar, M., & Saini, H. S. (2015). The major challenges for engineering institutions to fulfill industry demands in India. *IEEE 3rd International Conference* on MOOCs, Innovation and Technology in Education (MITE), 31-34. DOI: <u>10.1109/MITE.2015.7375282</u>
- Srinivasa, R., Viswanadhan, K. G., & Raghunandana, K. (2016). Roadmaps to excellence for the young self-financing engineering colleges in India. *Journal of Higher Education Studies*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jhes.2016/v17i1/2398</u>
- 8. Milicevic, V., Sofronijević, A., & Milosavljević, G. (2016). Contemporary Indian management practices in the dynamic emerging market economy. *Management: Journal for Theory and Practice Management*, 21, 11-24. DOI: 10.7595/MANAGEMENT.FON.2016.0005
- 9. Sawhney, S., Sharma, K. K., & Gupta, A. (2020). Penetration and prevalence of strategic management in higher education institutions in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2020/v33i3/138205</u>
- Pandi, A., Sethupathi, P., & Jeyathilagar, D. (2016). Quality sustainability in engineering educational institutions - a theoretical model. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management, 18*, 364-384. DOI: <u>10.1504/IJPQM.2016.076715</u>
- Kumar, P., Singhal, S., & Kansal, J. (2022). Impact of Quality Management System Dimensions on Organizational Effectiveness of Engineering Institutions in India. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research*. DOI: <u>10.56042/jsir.v81i02.54827</u>
- Singar, A., Jain, S., & Akhilesh, K. (2022). FRIYAY A Contemporary Model of Education for Engineering and Management Institutions. *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, 1788-1795. DOI: <u>10.1109/EDUCON52537.2022.9766753</u>
- Chizhov, S. V. (2023). Axiology of academic leadership in engineering education of the "Priority 2030" Programme. Научное мнение. DOI: <u>10.25807/22224378 2023 7-8 184</u>

- 14. Thanikachalam, V. (2016). Transformation in Indian Engineering Education through Academic Autonomy to High Performing Faculty Teams. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, 29, 17-25. DOI: <u>10.16920/JEET/2016/V30I1/85435</u>
- 15. Kiradoo, G. (2017). The Impact of Indian Ethos and Ethical Values in Management and Leadership Role. *Sustainability at Work eJournal*.
- Mukerji, D. (2017). Transcendent Leadership for Sustainable Construction Project Management in China and India. Springer Series in Project Management, 417-444. DOI: 10.1057/978-1-137-57940-9_23
- Kumar, P., Singhal, S., & Kansal, J. (2022). Impact of Quality Management System Dimensions on Organizational Effectiveness of Engineering Institutions in India. Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research. DOI: <u>10.56042/jsir.v81i02.54827</u>)
- Vidya Bai, G., Suhan, M., Hawaldar, I. T., Spulbar, C., Birau, F. R., & Nayak, S. (2021). Exploring the antecedents of institutional effectiveness: A case study of higher education universities in India. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 35(1), 1162-1182. DOI: 10.1080/1331677X.2021.1959367
- 19. Thanikachalam, V. (2021). Strategies for Promoting Globally Competitive Engineering Education in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2021/v35i1/22052</u>
- Vedhathiri, T. (2018). Performance Management and Turnaround Mechanism of Poorly Performing Institutes. *Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology*, 32(2), 46-54. DOI: <u>10.16920/JEET/2018/V32I2/139500</u>
- Mukesh, K. (2020). The Role of Managing Engineering Education in India. *Education and Society Journal*, 3(2), 45-46. DOI: <u>10.26480/ess.02.2020.45.46</u>
- 22. Vedhathiri, T. (2022). Dynamic Process for Enhancing Engineering Faculty Competence in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2022/v36i1/22132</u>
- 23. Mohanakumari, D., & Magesh, R. (2019). Identifying The Competencies Possessed by the Engineering College Faculty in India. *Restaurant Business*. DOI: <u>10.26643/rb.v118i4.7642</u>
- Kalwade, P. G. (2016). Why We Are Producing Unemployable Engineers? A Case of Rural Engineering Colleges in India. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 2(1), 1-5. DOI: <u>N/A</u>
- 25. Komives, C. (2020). Indian Engineering Education Needs a Reboot. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2020/v33i3/151323</u>
- Das, G., Abbaiah, G., & Gudavalli, M. (2015). The Institutional Leadership of JNTUK System in Embracing New Paradigms in Engineering Education. *Springer Engineering Education Series*, 591-592. DOI: <u>10.1007/978-81-322-1931-6_81</u>
- Ghosh, P., & Mittal, R. (2017). Challenges in Strengthening Communication and Behavioral Skills for Greater Employability of Engineering Students. *International Journal of Management Excellence*, 8(3), 1013-1022. DOI: <u>10.17722/IJME.V8I3.326</u>
- Vidya Bai, G., Suhan, M., Hawaldar, I. T., Spulbar, C., Birau, F. R., & Nayak, S. (2021). Exploring the antecedents of institutional effectiveness: A case study of higher education universities in India. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 35(1), 1162-1182. DOI: 10.1080/1331677X.2021.1959367
- 29. Vedhathiri, T. (2021). Strategies for Promoting Globally Competitive Engineering Education in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: 10.16920/jeet/2021/v35i1/22052
- 30. Mukesh, K. (2020). The Role of Managing Engineering Education in India. *Education and Society Journal*, 3(2), 45-46. DOI: <u>10.26480/ess.02.2020.45.46</u>
- Kumar, P., Singhal, S., & Kansal, J. (2022). Impact of Quality Management System Dimensions on Organizational Effectiveness of Engineering Institutions in India. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research*. DOI: <u>10.56042/jsir.v81i02.54827</u>
- 32. Vedhathiri, T. (2022). Dynamic Process for Enhancing Engineering Faculty Competence in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2022/v36i1/22132</u>

- Das, G., Abbaiah, G., & Gudavalli, M. (2015). The Institutional Leadership of JNTUK System in Embracing New Paradigms in Engineering Education. Springer Engineering Education Series, 591-592. DOI: <u>10.1007/978-81-322-1931-6_81</u>
- Kiran, V. L. R., & Rao, K. V. (2019). Use of Institutional Repository Software in Private Autonomous Engineering College Libraries of Andhra Pradesh. *Library Herald*. DOI: <u>10.5958/0976-2469.2019.00034.4</u>
- 35. Subramanian, B. (2015). Engineering Education in India: A Comprehensive Overview. *Springer*. DOI: <u>10.1007/978-3-319-16169-3_5</u>
- Damodaram, A. K., Reddy, L. V., Babu, U. R., & Babu, M. M. (2023). Impact of Multiple Entry & Exit System on Future Engineering Education in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2023/v37i2/23150</u>
- 37. Komives, C. (2020). Indian Engineering Education Needs a Reboot. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2020/v33i3/151323</u>
- Madheswari, S. P., & Mageswari, S. (2020). Changing Paradigms of Engineering Education - An Indian Perspective. *Proceedia Computer Science*, 172, 215-224. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.procs.2020.05.034</u>
- Thanikachalam, V. (2021). Strategies for Promoting Globally Competitive Engineering Education in India. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*. DOI: <u>10.16920/jeet/2021/v35i1/22052</u>
- Tulsi, P. K., & Poonia, M. (2015). Building Excellence in Engineering Education in India. *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*. DOI: <u>10.1109/EDUCON.2015.7096035</u>